Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 4/5/2013 3:45:03 PM Filing ID: 86806 Accepted 4/5/2013

BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES
PARCEL RETURN SERVICE CONTRACT 2 (MC2011-6)
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2011-33

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION, WITH PORTIONS FILED UNDER SEAL

(April 5, 2013)

The Postal Service hereby provides its response to the Commission's request for supplemental information, contained in Order No. 1685 (March 29, 2013). In that Order, the Commission requested updated financial documentation and financial certification regarding the amended contract's compliance with applicable law and regulations. A response was due no later than April 5, 2013.

The Postal Service is today filing the requested updated financial documentation under seal. A redacted version of this documentation is being filed as well. The Postal Service hereby incorporates by reference the Application for Non-Public Treatment originally filed in this docket for the protected material. Attached to this pleading is the requested financial certification for the amended contract and the updated financials.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-3179, Fax -6187 elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov April 5, 2013

Certification of Prices for Amendment to Parcel Return Service Contract 2

I, Steven R. Phelps, Manager, Regulatory Reporting and Cost Analysis, Finance Department, am familiar with the prices and terms for the amendment to Parcel Return Service Contract 2. The amended prices and terms contained in this Contract were established by the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Parcel Return Service, dated October 29, 2010 (Governors' Decision No. 10-5).

I hereby certify, based on in the financial analysis provided herewith, that the amended prices are in compliance with 39 U.S.C § 3633 (a)(1), (2), and (3). They are expected to cover attributable costs. There should therefore be no subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products. The amended contract should not impair the ability of competitive products on the whole to cover an appropriate share of institutional costs.

Steven R. Phelps